Application No.: 10/760,373

Examiner: Hung V. Duong

Art Unit: 2835

**REMARKS** 

Reconsideration of the pending application is respectfully requested on the basis

of the following particulars:

Rejection of Claims

In the recent Office Action, the Examiner rejected claim 1 as anticipated by Ayd

et al (U.S. 6,025,989). The Examiner indicated that claims 2-8 would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and of

any intervening claims.

The Examiner's indication of allowable subject mater is noted with

appreciation. In this regard, Applicant has amended claim 1 to incorporate the

allowable subject matter of claim 2. Accordingly, claim 2 has been cancelled. It is

respectfully submitted that claim 1, amended to include the allowable subject matter of

claim 2, is now allowable as indicated by the Examiner.

Claims 3, 4, and 8 have been amended to correct dependencies such that claims

3-8 now depend from claim 1. Regarding claims 3-8, because each of these claims now

depend from claim 1, which is now allowable, each of the dependant claims 3-8 are

now allowable.

Additional amendments have been made to correct minor grammatical or

typographical errors.

Applicant respectfully submits that for at least these reasons, amended

independent claim 1, and the corresponding dependent claims 3-8 are allowable over

the prior art applied of record, because the prior art applied of record fails to disclose or

suggest all of the elements of claim 1 and of the corresponding dependent claims 3-8.

5

Application No.: 10/760,373

Examiner: Hung V. Duong

Art Unit: 2835

Remaining art of record not relied upon to reject any claims

The amended claims have been compared with the remaining art cited by the Examiner and it is respectfully submitted that the claims are patentable over such prior

art.

Conclusion

In view of the amendments to the claims, and in further view of the foregoing

remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, it is requested that claims 1, and 3-8 be allowed and the application be

passed to issue.

If any issues remain that may be resolved by a telephone or facsimile

communication with the Applicant's attorney, the Examiner is invited to contact the

undersigned at the numbers shown.

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor Alexandria, Virginia 22314-1176

Phone: (703) 683-0500

Date: June 28, 2005

RICHARD E. FICHTER

earl E. Fielle

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 26,382